Final Analysis of $\pi 7 \pi^+$ data from Pion Form Factor Experiments



Hall C Winter Workshop, January 25, 2013.

Jefferson Lab F_{π} Collaboration

R. Ent, **D. Gaskell**, M.K. Jones, **D. Mack**, D. Meekins, J. Roche, G. Smith, W. Vulcan, G. Warren, S.A. Wood

Jefferson Lab, Newport News, VA, USA

C. Butuceanu, E.J. Brash, G.M. Huber, V. Kovaltchouk, G.J. Lolos, S. Vidakovic, C. Xu

University of Regina, Regina, SK, Canada

H.P. Blok, V. Tvaskis V.U. University, Amsterdam, Netherlands

E. Beise, H. Breuer, C.C. Chang, T. Horn, P. King, J. Liu, P.G. Roos

University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

W. Boeglin, P. Markowitz, J. Reinhold Florida International University, FL, USA

J. Arrington, R. Holt, D. Potterveld, P. Reimer, X. Zheng Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, USA

> **H. Mkrtchyan, V. Tadevosyan** Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

> **S. Jin, W. Kim** Kyungook National University, Taegu, Korea

M.E. Christy, C. Keppel, L.G. Tang

Hampton University, Hampton, VA, USA

J. Volmer DESY, Hamburg, Germany

A. Matsumura, T. Miyoshi, Y. Okayasu

Tohuku University, Sendai, Japan

B. Barrett, A. Sarty St. Mary's University, Halifax, NS, Canada

K. Aniol, D. Margaziotis California State University, Los Angeles, CA, USA

L. Pentchev, C. Perdrisat College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA, USA

Deep Exclusive Meson Production

- Single π⁺ produced from proton, or π⁻ from neutron at high momentum transfer.
- Probes the relevant degrees of freedom within nucleon at different distance scales.
- Use the virtual photon's longitudinal and transverse polarizations to act as a filter on the details of the probing interaction.



$$R_{T} = \frac{\gamma_{T}^{*} n \to \pi^{-} p}{\gamma_{T}^{*} p \to \pi^{+} n} \xrightarrow{high - t} \frac{2Q_{d}^{2}}{2Q_{u}^{2}} = \frac{(-1/3)^{2}}{(+2/3)^{2}} = \frac{1}{4}$$

A. Nachtmann, Nucl. Phys. B 115 (1976) 61

At low -t, Meson-Nucleon Degrees of Freedom

 π⁺ t-channel diagram is purely isovector (G-parity conservation).

$$R_{L} = \frac{\sigma_{L}[n(e, e' \pi^{-})p]}{\sigma_{L}[p(e, e' \pi^{+})n]} = \frac{|A_{V} - A_{S}|^{2}}{|A_{V} + A_{S}|^{2}}$$

- A significant deviation of R_L from unity would indicate the presence of isoscalar backgrounds (such as b₁(1235) contributions to t-channel).
- Relevant for the extraction of the pion form factor from p(e,e³π⁺)n data, which uses a model including some isoscalar background.



Only Prior ²H(e,e'\pi^{\pm})NN Data



- Only prior exclusive ²H(e,e'π[±])NN data was obtained at DESY in the 1970's.
 - Unseparated cross sections only, due incomplete φ coverage.
 - Q²=0.70, 1.35 GeV².
- π⁻/π⁺ ratio intriguingly approaches Nachtmann's quark counting ratio →1/4 at high -t.
- Ratio approaches π pole dominance $\rightarrow 1$ at low -t.
- Need separated ²H(e,e'π[±])NN data over a wide kinematic range to better interpret ratios!



²H data Kinematic coverage

	² H(e,e'π ⁺)nn	²H(e,e'π⁻)pp
$Q^2=0.6 \text{ GeV}^2$, W=1.95 GeV (F _{π} -1)		
ε=0.37 , E _e =2.445 GeV	3 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =+0.5,+2.0,+4.0°.	2 HMS settings: Missing +2.0°.
ε=0.74 , E _e =3.548 GeV	4 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =-2.7, +0.0,+2.0,+4.0°.	1 HMS setting: Only +0.0 °.
$Q^2=0.75 \text{ GeV}^2$, W=1.95 GeV (F _{π} -1)		
ε=0.43 , E _e =2.673 GeV	2 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =+0.0,+4.0°.	2 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =+0.0,+4.0°.
ε=0.70 , E _e =3.548 GeV	3 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =-4.0, +0.0,+4.0°.	NO HMS settings!
$Q^2=1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$, W=1.95 GeV (F _{π} -1)		
ε=0.33 , E _e =2.673 GeV	2 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =+0.0,+4.0°.	2 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =+0.0,+4.0°.
ε=0.65 , E _e =3.548 GeV	3 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =-4.0, +0.0,+4.0°.	1 HMS setting: Only +0.0 °.
$Q^2=1.6 \text{ GeV}^2$, W=1.95 GeV (F _{π} -1)		
ε=0.27 , E _e =3.005 GeV	2 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q} = +0.0, +4.0^{\circ}$.	2 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =+0.0,+4.0°.
ε=0.63 , E _e =4.045 GeV	3 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =-4.0, +0.0,+4.0°.	3 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =-4.0, +0.0,+4.0°.
$Q^2=2.45 \text{ GeV}^2$, $W=2.20 \text{ GeV} (F_{\pi}-2)$		
ε=0.27 , E _e =4.210 GeV	2 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =+1.35,+3.0°.	2 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =+1.35,+3.0°.
ε=0.55 , E _e =5.248 GeV	3 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =-3.0, +0.0,+3.0°.	3 HMS settings: $\theta_{\pi q}$ =-3.0, +0.0,+3.0°.

Corrections to π^- , π^+ Data

- Negative polarity of HMS field for ²H(e,e'π⁻)pp means these runs have high electron rates not shared by ²H(e,e'π⁺)nn runs.
- Understanding rate dependent corrections very important with respect to final π⁻/π⁺ ratios.



Tracking Efficiencies for High Rate Data

- F_{π} -1 data taken in 1997 and originally analyzed with "old" (1998) engine.
- To bring the F_{π} -1 data to the same level of reconstruction quality as the F_{π} -2 data taken in 2003, Cornel Butuceanu put a lot of effort into modifying the "new" 2003 engine to accept the older format data.
 - Makes use of redesigned (V. Tvaskis) tracking algorithm that does a significantly better job in selecting the best track for multi-track events.



Carbon Luminosity Scans

- To better understand HMS tracking efficiencies, the normalized yields from carbon target were studied vs. rate and vs. current.
 - Carbon target should not "boil", so normalized yields should be flat vs. current if all efficiencies are calculated correctly.
- Unfortunately, no ¹²C luminosity scans were taken at different beam currents in the F_{π} -1 experiment.
 - \rightarrow Conclusions from the F_{π}-2 study will have to be applied.



Final HMS Tracking Efficiency Correction

$htr_corrected = htr_old \times (1 - S1Xrate(kHz) * 6.76236 \times 10^{-5})$

- Correction is applied to both F_{π} -1 and F_{π} -2 tracking efficiencies.
- Particularly important for F_{π} -1 ²H(e,e' π -) data, with HMS rate up to 1.4 MHz.



Final ²H Cryotarget Boiling Correction

After the tracking efficiencies are finalized, the cryotarget boiling corrections can be determined.



• F_{π} -1 boiling correction found in 2009 analysis significantly larger, 13.5%/100µA.

HMS Cerenkov Blocking Correction (π^{-})

- In both F_π-1,2, the HMS gas Cerenkov was used as a veto in the trigger for ²H(e,e'π⁻) runs
 - \rightarrow needed to avoid high DAQ deadtime due to large e⁻ rates in HMS.

Cerenkov Blocking:

Need to correct for loss of π^- due to e⁻ passing through the gas Cerenkov within ~100ns after π^- has traversed the detector, resulting in a mis-identification of π^- as e⁻.

- Actual veto thresholds vary according to PMT gain variations at high rates.
 - slightly more restrictive software thresholds are applied in the analysis:
 - F_{π} -1: accept < 1.5 hcer_npe
 - F_{π} -2: accept < 2 hcer_npe

F_π-2 HMS Singles Yield Study

- ²H(e,e'π⁻) runs were taken without HMS Cerenkov trigger veto at different currents for several kinematic settings.
 - Apply "veto" via hcer_npe<2.0 cut.</p>
- Expect a loss of yield at higher rate due to Cerenkov blocking.



- Plot normalized HMS singles yields for each kinematic setting vs. rate.
- For each setting, fit with Ae^{-bτ} and divide by A.
- τ values sensitive to applied tracking eff. and cryotarget boiling corrections.
- These τ values determined with singles events, and need to be adjusted for effective gate width for coincidence evts.

$$\tau_{yield_study} = 99 \pm 19 ns$$

τ value found in 2009 analysis significantly larger, 160ns.

F_π-2 HMS Cerenkov Trigger TDC study

- Multi-hit TDC of the Cerenkov signal into the HMS trigger was investigated for HMS singles rate up to ~600kHz.
- Compare runs without and with HMS Cerenkov veto.



Final HMS Cerenkov Blocking Corrections



- Region due to early e- passing through detector before e- associated with trigger.
- Already addressed in coincidence time blocking correction.

- Final Cerenkov Blocking Correction is obtained from Trigger TDC information, since that is independent of tracking efficiency and cryotarget corrections.
- Result is consistent with τ from yield study within statistical errors.

$$\delta_{CCblock} = e^{-ELLOrate \cdot \tau}$$

 F_{π} -2: τ = 115±6 ns F_{π} -1: τ = 138±6 ns

Changes to $^{2}H(e,e'\pi)NN$ MC Model Reconstruction

- Our earlier ²H analyses used as input to SIMC the quasi-free model developed by D. Gaskell for NucPi experiment.
 - π is produced from interacting N with Fermi momentum k_{F} .
 - CM frame is virtual γ and moving N, $\varphi_{CM} \neq \varphi_{LAB}$.
- Model has virtue that the used cross section is presumably closest to that used in the ¹H analysis.
 - But there is no direct relation between the separated response functions in the SIMC model and the experimentally determined ones, since θ_{CM} , ϕ_{CM} depend on the assumed Fermi momentum.
 - Fitting of response functions gets complicated.
- For these reasons, we decided to use in the SIMC physics reconstruction the same simple quasi-free model used in the experimental data reconstruction.
 - CM frame is virtual γ and stationary N, $\varphi_{CM} = \varphi_{LAB}$, as in ¹H analysis.
 - SIMC simulation and data reconstruction are now consistent.
- Extracted response functions are now effective ones, not trivially comparable to those from ¹H.

Good Agreement for Optics and Kinematic Variables



SIMC

Extract response functions through iterative procedure



²H(e,e' π^{\pm})NN Separated d σ /dt



- Longitudinal cross-section shows steep rise due to π pole at small --t.
- Transverse cross-section much flatter, generally smaller for π⁻.
- Negative TT.
- LT nearly zero.

Error bars indicate statistical and pt-pt systematic uncertainties in quadrature. Bands indicate LT,TT MC model dependence systematic uncertainty.

σ_L / σ_T Ratios for π^+, π^-



Error bars indicate statistical and pt-pt systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

π^{-}/π^{+} Separated Response Function Ratios



VGL Regge Model:

 π electroproduction in terms of exchange of π and ρ Regge trajectories.

[PRC 57(1998)1454]

- Model parameters fixed from pion photoproduction.
- Free parameters: Λ_{π}^2 and Λ_{ρ}^2 (from ¹H data).

 R_L =0.8 consistent with $|A_s/A_v|$ <6%.

Transverse Ratios tend to ¼ as -t increases:

 \rightarrow Is this an indication of Nachtmann's quark charge scaling?

-t=0.3 GeV² seems too low for this to apply. Might indicate the partial cancellation of soft QCD corrections in the formation of the ratio.

Comparison of π^+ **from** ¹**H and** ²**H**



- Intriguing differences between π⁺ production from hydrogen and deuterium.
- σ_L consistently larger from ²H than ¹H.
- σ_T t-dependences different as well.
- Keep in mind that ²H cross sections are effective ones, not trivially comparable to ¹H.
- Role of off-shell effects in ²H?
- Role of Fermi momentum in ²H?

Error bars indicate statistical and pt-pt systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

Next Steps

- Technical Note has been prepared, explaining the ²H(e,e'π[±]) analysis in detail (60 pages).
 - Note will be released to the F_{π} Collaboration in the next 1-2 weeks.
 - Note will form the basis for 1-2 papers on these data.
 - Your opinions will be solicited.

Main Results:

- R_L≈0.8, trending towards unity at low -t.
- Indicates the dominance of isovector processes at low –t in the longitudinal response function.
- Evolution of R_T with –t shows a rapid fall off consistent with earlier theoretical predictions, expected to approach ¼, the square of the ratio of the quark charges involved.
 - Further theoretical work needed re. alternate explanations.