Light Meson Structure from Early EIC Physics

Stephen JD Kay
University of York

ePIC EDT Meeting
24/03/25

Stephen JD Kay, Garth Huber,
Love Preet



Outline

Outline

- Brief Form Factor Recap

Outline

- Brief Form Factor Recap
- Simulation Conditions

Outline

- Brief Form Factor Recap
- Simulation Conditions
- Measuring Meson Form Factors through DEMP

Outline

- Brief Form Factor Recap
- Simulation Conditions
- Measuring Meson Form Factors through DEMP
- Analysis Overview/Details

Outline

- Brief Form Factor Recap
- Simulation Conditions
- Measuring Meson Form Factors through DEMP
- Analysis Overview/Details
- ePIC Projections - Latest Results and Improvements

Form Factors from DEMP at the EIC

- Form factors $\rightarrow$ Momentum space distributions of partons
- Measurements $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$ and $p(e, e'K^+\Lambda/\Sigma)$ at the EIC can potentially extend the Q^2 reach of F_π/F_K

Form Factors from DEMP at the EIC

- Form factors $\rightarrow$ Momentum space distributions of partons
- Measurements $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$ and $p(e, e'K^+\Lambda/\Sigma)$ at the EIC can potentially extend the Q^2 reach of F_π/F_K
- A challenging measurement however
  - Need clean identification of exclusive reactions
  - Access form factors by isolating σ_L at lowest possible $-t$

Form Factors from DEMP at the EIC

- Form factors $\rightarrow$ Momentum space distributions of partons
- Measurements $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$ and $p(e, e'K^+\Lambda/\Sigma)$ at the EIC can potentially extend the Q^2 reach of F_π/F_K
- A challenging measurement however
  - Need clean identification of exclusive reactions
  - Access form factors by isolating σ_L at lowest possible $-t$
  - **Conventional L-T separation not possible $\rightarrow$ low enough ϵ not accessible at the EIC**
  - Need to use a model to isolate $d\sigma_L/dt$ from $d\sigma_{uns}/dt$

Form Factors from DEMP at the EIC

- Form factors $\rightarrow$ Momentum space distributions of partons
- Measurements $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$ and $p(e, e'K^+\Lambda/\Sigma)$ at the EIC can potentially extend the Q^2 reach of F_π/F_K
- A challenging measurement however
  - Need clean identification of exclusive reactions
  - Access form factors by isolating σ_L at lowest possible $-t$
  - **Conventional L-T separation not possible $\rightarrow$ low enough ϵ not accessible at the EIC**
  - Need to use a model to isolate $d\sigma_L/dt$ from $d\sigma_{uns}/dt$
- F_π measurement feasibility previously demonstrated

A. Bylinkin. et. al., NIMA 1052 (2023) 168238 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2023.168238

Form Factors from DEMP at the EIC

- Form factors $\rightarrow$ Momentum space distributions of partons
- Measurements $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$ and $p(e, e'K^+\Lambda/\Sigma)$ at the EIC can potentially extend the Q^2 reach of F_π/F_K
- A challenging measurement however
  - Need clean identification of exclusive reactions
  - Access form factors by isolating σ_L at lowest possible $-t$
  - **Conventional L-T separation not possible $\rightarrow$ low enough ϵ not accessible at the EIC**
  - Need to use a model to isolate $d\sigma_L/dt$ from $d\sigma_{uns}/dt$
- F_π measurement feasibility previously demonstrated
- Love presented improvements with ePIC previously
  - No 10x130 early science config previously

Form Factors from DEMP at the EIC

- Form factors $\rightarrow$ Momentum space distributions of partons
- Measurements $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$ and $p(e, e'K^+\Lambda/\Sigma)$ at the EIC can potentially extend the Q^2 reach of F_π/F_K
- A challenging measurement however
  - Need clean identification of exclusive reactions
  - Access form factors by isolating σ_L at lowest possible $-t$
  - **Conventional L-T separation not possible $\rightarrow$ low enough ϵ not accessible at the EIC**
  - Need to use a model to isolate $d\sigma_L/dt$ from $d\sigma_{uns}/dt$
- F_π measurement feasibility previously demonstrated
- Love presented improvements with ePIC previously
  - No 10x130 early science config previously
- F_K studies still to be done
  - Promising signs on Λ reconstruction in ZDC though
  - See `https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.12346`

Simulation Overview

- 10 GeV e^- on 130 GeV p (10x130) is a new configuration
- New simulation, and therefore new input files, needed

Simulation Overview

- 10 GeV e^- on 130 GeV p (10x130) is a new configuration
- New simulation, and therefore new input files, needed
- Used DEMPgen v1.2.3 to generate new files
  - 10x130 added as new configuration
  - $\mathcal{L} \approx 0.2629 \times 10^{33} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$
  - Assume $\int \mathcal{L} = 5\ fb^{-1}$ in projections

Based upon assumptions on per fill $\int \mathcal{L}$ in Elke's slides

Simulation Overview

- 10 GeV e^- on 130 GeV p (10x130) is a new configuration
- New simulation, and therefore new input files, needed
- Used DEMPgen v1.2.3 to generate new files
  - 10x130 added as new configuration
  - $\mathcal{L} \approx 0.2629 \times 10^{33} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$
  - Assume $\int \mathcal{L} = 5\ fb^{-1}$ in projections
- Ran $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$ and $p(e, e'K^+\Lambda)$, split into three Q^2 ranges
  - $3 < Q^2 < 10$, $10 < Q^2 < 20$ and $20 < Q^2 < 35$
  - Based upon kinematic region parameterised in DEMPgen
  - Roughly ~100k generated per Q^2 range

Technically, actually a cut on the range of $\theta_{e'}$ values, directly feeds into Q^2

Simulation Overview

- 10 GeV e^- on 130 GeV p (10x130) is a new configuration
- New simulation, and therefore new input files, needed
- Used DEMPgen v1.2.3 to generate new files
  - 10x130 added as new configuration
  - $\mathcal{L} \approx 0.2629 \times 10^{33} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$
  - Assume $\int \mathcal{L} = 5\ fb^{-1}$ in projections
- Ran $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$ and $p(e, e'K^+\Lambda)$, split into three Q^2 ranges
  - $3 < Q^2 < 10$, $10 < Q^2 < 20$ and $20 < Q^2 < 35$
  - Based upon kinematic region parameterised in DEMPgen
  - Roughly ~100k generated per Q^2 range
- For π, processed with high acceptance (lower divergence) and high divergence (lower acceptance) beam profiles
  - Only pion high acceptance analysed so far
- Submit as a request to simulation campaign, but also ran independently
  - Used 10x130 epic-craterlake detector config

Analysis Disclaimers

- Recently picked this up where Love left off
  - Working with analysis Love had as a starting point

Analysis Disclaimers

- Recently picked this up where Love left off
  - Working with analysis Love had as a starting point
- Focus recently has been on modifying generator for 10x130
  - Also needed to generate and analyse files
  - New JLab job creation scripts

Analysis Disclaimers

- Recently picked this up where Love left off
  - Working with analysis Love had as a starting point
- Focus recently has been on modifying generator for 10x130
  - Also needed to generate and analyse files
  - New JLab job creation scripts
- Aware that additional validation plots needed
  - These will be my next focus and priority
- Will look to create independent analysis script

Analysis Disclaimers

- Recently picked this up where Love left off
  - Working with analysis Love had as a starting point
- Focus recently has been on modifying generator for 10x130
  - Also needed to generate and analyse files
  - New JLab job creation scripts
- Aware that additional validation plots needed
  - These will be my next focus and priority
- Will look to create independent analysis script
  - Verify earlier work, build in validation plots from the start

DEMP Kinematics - Truth Distributions

- Generated 10 GeV electrons on 130 GeV protons (10x130)

DEMP Kinematics - Truth Distributions

- Generated 10 GeV electrons on 130 GeV protons (10x130)
- e' and π^+ hit the central detector, neutron in FF detectors
  - ZDC in particular critical for low $-t$ neutrons



Beam effects *not* removed here.
Note, in η the ranges are $-1.15 < \eta_{e'} < -2.45$, $0 < \eta_{\pi^+} < 0.9$ and $4 < \eta_n < 5.1$.

DEMP Kinematics - Truth Distributions

- Generated 10 GeV electrons on 130 GeV protons (10x130)
- e' and π^+ hit the central detector, neutron in FF detectors
  - ZDC in particular critical for low $-t$ neutrons
- Note that the Z scale is a rate in Hz



DEMP Kinematics - Reconstructed Distributions

- Processed same 10x130 events through EICrecon



DEMP Kinematics - Reconstructed Distributions

- Processed same 10x130 events through EICrecon
- Selected events with $E > 40$ GeV in 1 cluster the ZDC
  - Used the "HCalFarForwardZDCClusters" branch
  - Also applied a cut on θ^*



θ^* is after a rotation of 25 mRad around the proton axis to remove the crossing angle

DEMP Kinematics - Reconstructed Distributions

- Processed same 10x130 events through EICrecon
- Selected events with $E > 40\ GeV$ in 1 cluster the ZDC
  - Used the "HCalFarForwardZDCClusters" branch
  - Also applied a cut on θ^*
- ZDC performance and $-t$ reconstruction critical



DEMP Analysis Overview - Event Selection Cuts

- Need to select out e', π^+, n triple coincidence events
- To begin, require that simultaneously we have -

DEMP Analysis Overview - Event Selection Cuts

- Need to select out e', π^+, n triple coincidence events
- To begin, require that simultaneously we have -
  - One negatively charged track in the $-z$ direction (the e')
  - One positively charged track in the $+z$ direction (π^+)
  - A high energy reconstructed neutron in the ZDC
    - $E_n > 40$ *GeV*
    - $\theta_n^* < 4$ *mrad*

θ^* is after a rotation of 25 mRad around the proton axis to remove the crossing angle

DEMP Analysis Overview - Event Selection Cuts

- Need to select out e', π^+, n triple coincidence events
- To begin, require that simultaneously we have -
  - One negatively charged track in the $-z$ direction (the e')
  - One positively charged track in the $+z$ direction (π^+)
  - A high energy reconstructed neutron in the ZDC
    - $E_n > 40$ *GeV*
    - $\theta_n^* < 4$ *mrad*
- Cut on difference between ZDC hit and "corrected" neutron track angles
  - $-0.09^\circ < \theta < 0.14^\circ$
  - $|\Delta\phi| < 45^\circ$

More on the neutron track correction in a second

DEMP Analysis Overview - Event Selection Cuts

- Need to select out e', π^+, n triple coincidence events
- To begin, require that simultaneously we have -
  - One negatively charged track in the $-z$ direction (the e')
  - One positively charged track in the $+z$ direction (π^+)
  - A high energy reconstructed neutron in the ZDC
    - $E_n > 40$ *GeV*
    - $\theta_n^* < 4$ *mrad*
- Cut on difference between ZDC hit and "corrected" neutron track angles
  - $-0.09^\circ < \theta < 0.14^\circ$
  - $|\Delta\phi| < 45^\circ$
- When not utilising the B0, cut on $-t < 0.4$ *GeV*$/c$ too

DEMP Analysis Overview - Event Selection Cuts

- Need to select out e', π^+, n triple coincidence events
- To begin, require that simultaneously we have -
  - One negatively charged track in the $-z$ direction (the e')
  - One positively charged track in the $+z$ direction (π^+)
  - A high energy reconstructed neutron in the ZDC
    - $E_n > 40$ *GeV*
    - $\theta_n^* < 4$ *mrad*
- Cut on difference between ZDC hit and "corrected" neutron track angles
  - $-0.09^\circ < \theta < 0.14^\circ$
  - $|\Delta\phi| < 45^\circ$
- When not utilising the B0, cut on $-t < 0.4$ GeV/c too
- Will be reviewing and refining cuts/analysis soon

DEMP Analysis Overview - ZDC Neutron Reconstruction

- Selected reconstructed neutrons should actually hit the ZDC
  - Quick to check!
- Events all fall on face of ZDC
- Hexagonal pattern seen, consequence of ZDC reconstruction algorithm
- Next step, reconstruct $-t$



- 10x130 high acceptance AB config

DEMP Analysis Overview - $-t$ Reconstruction

- Can reconstruct $-t$ in multiple ways
- "Best" way for DEMP is

$$-t_{rec} = (\vec{p} - \vec{n}_{Corr})^2$$

DEMP Analysis Overview - $-t$ Reconstruction

- Can reconstruct $-t$ in multiple ways
- "Best" way for DEMP is

$$-t_{rec} = (\vec{p} - \vec{n}_{Corr})^2$$

- $\vec{n}_{Corr}$ uses $\vec{P}_{Miss}$, actual ZDC hit info and the exclusive nature of the reaction to "correct" the reconstructed neutron track

I.e. it is a neutron, so set the mass to the neutron mass. $\vec{P}_{Miss} = (\vec{e} + \vec{p}) - (\vec{e\prime}_{Rec} + \vec{\pi}_{Rec})$

DEMP Analysis Overview - $-t$ Reconstruction

- Can reconstruct $-t$ in multiple ways
- "Best" way for DEMP is

$$-t_{rec} = (\vec{p} - \vec{n}_{Corr})^2$$

- $\vec{n}_{Corr}$ uses $\vec{P}_{Miss}$, actual ZDC hit info and the exclusive nature of the reaction to "correct" the reconstructed neutron track

- $-t_{rec}$ calculated in this way correlates well with truth



DEMP Analysis Overview - $-t$ Reconstruction

- Can reconstruct $-t$ in multiple ways
- "Best" way for DEMP is

$$-t_{rec} = (\vec{p} - \vec{n}_{Corr})^2$$

- $\vec{n}_{Corr}$ uses $\vec{P}_{Miss}$, actual ZDC hit info and the exclusive nature of the reaction to "correct" the reconstructed neutron track

- $-t_{rec}$ calculated in this way correlates well with truth
- Far better than methods using uncorrected neutron track and methods utilising electron information and electron P_T info



DEMP Analysis Overview - $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\phi$ Cuts

- P_{Miss} vector should correspond with hit location on the ZDC
- For a non-exclusive event, P_{Miss} vector should not correspond to a ZDC hit
  - Effectively an additional "exclusivity" constraint

$\Delta\theta = \theta_{PMiss} - \theta_{ZDC}$ and $\Delta\phi = \phi_{PMiss} - \phi_{ZDC}$

DEMP Analysis Overview - $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\phi$ Cuts

- P_{Miss} vector should correspond with hit location on the ZDC
- For a non-exclusive event, P_{Miss} vector should not correspond to a ZDC hit
  - Effectively an additional "exclusivity" constraint
- Select $-0.09° < \Delta\theta < 0.14°$ and $-45° < \Delta\phi < 45°$



DEMP Analysis Overview - Detection Efficiency

- What is the detection efficiency like for DEMP?
  - All previous cuts applied and $5 < Q^2 < 35$ required

DEMP Analysis Overview - Detection Efficiency

- What is the detection efficiency like for DEMP?
  - All previous cuts applied and $5 < Q^2 < 35$ required
- Detection efficiency is good, comparable to previous results
  - Crucially, efficiency is highest in low $-t$ region



DEMP Analysis Overview - Detection Efficiency

- What is the detection efficiency like for DEMP?
  - All previous cuts applied and $5 < Q^2 < 35$ required
- Detection efficiency is good, comparable to previous results
  - Crucially, efficiency is highest in low $-t$ region
- Slightly less smooth looking than previous plots $\rightarrow$ low stats in some bins?



DEMP Analysis Results - $Q^2, -t$ Binning

- After applying cuts, bin in Q^2 and $-t$
  - $-t$ bins 0.04 GeV/c wide
  - Q^2 bins 2.5 GeV^2 wide below 10 GeV^2, 5 GeV^2 above



DEMP Analysis Results - $Q^2, -t$ Binning

- After applying cuts, bin in Q^2 and $-t$
  - $-t$ bins 0.04 GeV/c wide
  - Q^2 bins 2.5 GeV^2 wide below 10 GeV^2, 5 GeV^2 above
- From rate per bin, extrapolate to number of events with $\int \mathcal{L} = 5\ fb^{-1}$, project to F_π



DEMP Analysis Results - F_π Projections

- ePIC opens up high Q^2 regime

DEMP Analysis Results - F_π Projections

- ePIC opens up high Q^2 regime
- **Error bars represent real projected error bars**
  - 2.5% point-to-point
  - 12% scale
  - $\delta R = R$, $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$
  - $R = 0.013 - 014$ at lowest $-t$ from VR model



DEMP Analysis Results - F_π Projections

- ePIC opens up high Q^2 regime
- **Error bars represent real projected error bars**
  - 2.5% point-to-point
  - 12% scale
  - $\delta R = R$, $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$
  - $R = 0.013 - 014$ at lowest $-t$ from VR model
- Uncertainties dominated by R at low Q^2
- Statistical uncertainties dominate at high Q^2



DEMP Analysis Results - F_π Projections

- ePIC opens up high Q^2 regime
- **Error bars represent real projected error bars**
  - 2.5% point-to-point
  - 12% scale
  - $\delta R = R$, $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$
  - $R = 0.013 - 014$ at lowest $-t$ from VR model
- Uncertainties dominated by R at low Q^2
- Statistical uncertainties dominate at high Q^2



- Even from low $\int \mathcal{L}$ in early science programme, looks promising!
- How high in Q^2 will be possible?

DEMP Analysis - Next Steps

- Will have a quick look at high divergence setting
- Need to look at 10x250 setting and revisit 5x41 too
- Planning to take a closer look at B0 information too
  - Access to higher $-t$

DEMP Analysis - Next Steps

- Will have a quick look at high divergence setting
- Need to look at 10x250 setting and revisit 5x41 too
- Planning to take a closer look at B0 information too
  - Access to higher $-t$
- Garth is recruiting a new student, starting later in the year
  - Extending DEMPgen parametrisation to $\sim Q^2 = 50\ GeV^2$ will be a priority, for pion and kaon channels
- Also need a deuteron module in DEMPgen
  - Needed for π^+/π^- ratio model validation tests

DEMP Analysis - Next Steps

- Will have a quick look at high divergence setting
- Need to look at 10x250 setting and revisit 5x41 too
- Planning to take a closer look at B0 information too
  - Access to higher $-t$
- Garth is recruiting a new student, starting later in the year
  - Extending DEMPgen parametrisation to $\sim Q^2 = 50\ GeV^2$ will be a priority, for pion and kaon channels
- Also need a deuteron module in DEMPgen
  - Needed for π^+/π^- ratio model validation tests
- Will write independent analysis script in coming weeks
  - Verify Love's results independently, make additional QA plots

DEMP Analysis - Next Steps

- Will have a quick look at high divergence setting
- Need to look at 10x250 setting and revisit 5x41 too
- Planning to take a closer look at B0 information too
  - Access to higher $-t$
- Garth is recruiting a new student, starting later in the year
  - Extending DEMPgen parametrisation to $\sim Q^2 = 50\ GeV^2$ will be a priority, for pion and kaon channels
- Also need a deuteron module in DEMPgen
  - Needed for π^+/π^- ratio model validation tests
- Will write independent analysis script in coming weeks
  - Verify Love's results independently, make additional QA plots
- $K^+\Lambda$ channel is on the agenda for later in the year

Summary

- 10on130 pion results look good, even with low $\int \mathcal{L}$ expected from early physics
  - More broadly, all early running settings look viable with $\int \mathcal{L} = 5\ fb^{-1}$
  - Need further generator updates to determine how high in Q^2 is actually viable

Summary

- 10on130 pion results look good, even with low $\int \mathcal{L}$ expected from early physics
  - More broadly, all early running settings look viable with $\int \mathcal{L} = 5\ fb^{-1}$
  - Need further generator updates to determine how high in Q^2 is actually viable
- Still need deuteron studies

Summary

- 10on130 pion results look good, even with low $\int \mathcal{L}$ expected from early physics
  - More broadly, all early running settings look viable with $\int \mathcal{L} = 5\ fb^{-1}$
  - Need further generator updates to determine how high in Q^2 is actually viable
- Still need deuteron studies
- Also need to revisit $K^+\Lambda$
  - New ZDC reconstruction algorithm expected in main ePIC simulation soon
  - Λ reconstruction in ZDC looks very promising
  - Expect rapid results when it is available

Summary

- 10on130 pion results look good, even with low $\int \mathcal{L}$ expected from early physics
  - More broadly, all early running settings look viable with $\int \mathcal{L} = 5\ fb^{-1}$
  - Need further generator updates to determine how high in Q^2 is actually viable
- Still need deuteron studies
- Also need to revisit $K^+\Lambda$
  - New ZDC reconstruction algorithm expected in main ePIC simulation soon
  - Λ reconstruction in ZDC looks very promising
  - Expect rapid results when it is available
- New student will need some onboarding time

Thanks for listening, any questions?

UNIVERSITY of York

University of Regina



Science and Technology Facilities Council

stephen.kay@york.ac.uk

This research was supported by UK Research and Innovation: Science and Technology Facilities council (UKRI:STFC) grant ST/W004852/1 and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) grant SAPPJ-2023-00041

Backup Zone

EIC Early Running - Plans

- Early science programme for ePIC is a current priority
- Proposed schedule has been presented and is evolving



Image - Modified from Elke's slides at ePIC User Group Meeting, Frascati 2025

EIC Early Running - Plans

- Early science programme for ePIC is a current priority
- Proposed schedule has been presented and is evolving
  - Opportunities early on for light meson form factors



Image - Modified from Elke's slides at ePIC User Group Meeting, Frascati 2025

EIC Early Running - Plans

- Early science programme for ePIC is a current priority
- Proposed schedule has been presented and is evolving
  - Opportunities early on for light meson form factors



Image - Modified from Elke's slides at ePIC User Group Meeting, Frascati 2025

EIC Early Running - Plans

- Early science programme for ePIC is a current priority
- Proposed schedule has been presented and is evolving
  - Opportunities early on for light meson form factors



Image - Modified from Elke's slides at ePIC User Group Meeting, Frascati 2025

EIC Early Running - Plans

- Early science programme for ePIC is a current priority
- Proposed schedule has been presented and is evolving
  - Opportunities early on for light meson form factors

ep Luminosity for Phase-1

High Divergence	Lumi per Fill (5 h)	Lumi per Year	Low Divergence	Lumi per Fill (5 h)	Lumi per Year
5 GeV e x 250 GeV p	9.26 pb^{-1}	6.48 fb^{-1}	5 GeV e x 250 GeV p	6.81 pb^{-1}	4.78 fb^{-1}
10 GeV e x 250 GeV p	13.12 pb^{-1}	9.18 fb^{-1}	10 GeV e x 250 GeV p	8.8 pb^{-1}	6.19 fb^{-1}
5 GeV e x 130 GeV p	6.3 pb^{-1}	4.36 fb-1	5 GeV e x 130 GeV p	5.8 pb^{-1}	4.1 fb^{-1}
10 GeV e x 130 GeV p	7.6 pb^{-1}	5.33 fb^{-1}	10 GeV e x 130 GeV p	7.1 pb^{-1}	4.95 fb^{-1}

Compare to HERA integrated luminosity 1992 – 2007: 0.6 fb^{-1}

Remember:
high divergence: higher lumi, but reduced acceptance for low forward particle p_T^{min}
low divergence: lower lumi, but increased acceptance for low forward particle p_T^{min}
→ important for exclusive processes



- Modest $\int \mathcal{L}$, ~ 5 fb^{-1}, in first few years
- New configurations to check for F_π studies

Image - Modified from Elke's slides at ePIC User Group Meeting, Frascati 2025

DEMP Kinematics - Visualising with ePIC

- e' and π^+ hit the central detector



DEMP Kinematics - Visualising with ePIC

- e' and π^+ hit the central detector
- n very forward focused, ZDC or B0



Meson Form Factors

- Charged pion ($\pi^{\pm}$) and kaon ($K^{\pm}$) form factors (F_{π}, F_{K}) are key QCD observables
  - Momentum space distributions of partons within hadrons

Meson Form Factors

- Charged pion ($\pi^{\pm}$) and kaon ($K^{\pm}$) form factors (F_{π}, F_K) are key QCD observables
  - Momentum space distributions of partons within hadrons



Meson Form Factors

- Charged pion ($\pi^{\pm}$) and kaon ($K^{\pm}$) form factors (F_π, F_K) are key QCD observables
  - Momentum space distributions of partons within hadrons



- Meson wave function can be split into $\phi_\pi^{\rm soft}$ $(k < k_0)$ and $\phi_\pi^{\rm hard}$, the hard tail

Meson Form Factors

- Charged pion ($\pi^{\pm}$) and kaon ($K^{\pm}$) form factors (F_π, F_K) are key QCD observables
  - Momentum space distributions of partons within hadrons



- Meson wave function can be split into ϕ_π^{soft} $(k < k_0)$ and ϕ_π^{hard}, the hard tail
  - Can treat ϕ_π^{hard} in pQCD, cannot with ϕ_π^{soft}
  - Form factor is the overlap between the two tails (right figure)

Meson Form Factors

- Charged pion ($\pi^{\pm}$) and kaon ($K^{\pm}$) form factors (F_π, F_K) are key QCD observables
  - Momentum space distributions of partons within hadrons



- Meson wave function can be split into $\phi_\pi^{\rm soft}$ $(k < k_0)$ and $\phi_\pi^{\rm hard}$, the hard tail
  - Can treat $\phi_\pi^{\rm hard}$ in pQCD, cannot with $\phi_\pi^{\rm soft}$
  - Form factor is the overlap between the two tails (right figure)
- **F_π and F_K of special interest in hadron structure studies**

Meson Form Factors

- Charged pion ($\pi^{\pm}$) and kaon ($K^{\pm}$) form factors (F_π, F_K) are key QCD observables
  - Momentum space distributions of partons within hadrons



- Meson wave function can be split into ϕ_π^{soft} $(k < k_0)$ and ϕ_π^{hard}, the hard tail
  - Can treat ϕ_π^{hard} in pQCD, cannot with ϕ_π^{soft}
  - Form factor is the overlap between the two tails (right figure)
- **F_π and F_K of special interest in hadron structure studies**
  - π - Lightest QCD quark system, simple
  - K - Another simple system, contains strange quark

Measurement of F_π at High Q^2

- To access F_π at high Q^2, must measure F_π indirectly
  - Use the "pion cloud" of the proton via $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$

Measurement of F_π at High Q^2

- To access F_π at high Q^2, must measure F_π indirectly
  - Use the "pion cloud" of the proton via $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$
- At small $-t$, the pion pole process dominates σ_L



Measurement of F_π at High Q^2

- To access F_π at high Q^2, must measure F_π indirectly
  - Use the "pion cloud" of the proton via $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$
  - At small $-t$, the pion pole process dominates σ_L
- In the Born term model, F_π^2 appears as -

$$\frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} \propto \frac{-tQ^2}{(t - m_\pi^2)} g_{\pi NN}^2(t) F_\pi^2(Q^2, t)$$



Measurement of F_π at High Q^2

- To access F_π at high Q^2, must measure F_π indirectly
  - Use the "pion cloud" of the proton via $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$
  - At small $-t$, the pion pole process dominates σ_L
- In the Born term model, F_π^2 appears as -

$$\frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} \propto \frac{-tQ^2}{(t - m_\pi^2)} g_{\pi NN}^2(t) F_\pi^2(Q^2, t)$$

- We do not use the Born term model



Measurement of F_π at High Q^2

- To access F_π at high Q^2, must measure F_π indirectly
  - Use the "pion cloud" of the proton via $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$
- At small $-t$, the pion pole process dominates σ_L
- In the Born term model, F_π^2 appears as -

$$\frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} \propto \frac{-tQ^2}{(t - m_\pi^2)} g_{\pi NN}^2(t) F_\pi^2(Q^2, t)$$



- We do not use the Born term model
- Drawbacks of this technique -
  - Isolating σ_L experimentally challenging
  - Theoretical uncertainty in F_π extraction
    - Model dependent
      (smaller dependency at low -t)

Measurement of F_π at High Q^2

- To access F_π at high Q^2, must measure F_π indirectly
  - Use the "pion cloud" of the proton via $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$
- At small $-t$, the pion pole process dominates σ_L
- In the Born term model, F_π^2 appears as -

$$\frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} \propto \frac{-tQ^2}{(t - m_\pi^2)} g_{\pi NN}^2(t) F_\pi^2(Q^2, t)$$



- We do not use the Born term model
- Drawbacks of this technique -
  - Isolating σ_L experimentally challenging
  - Theoretical uncertainty in F_π extraction
    - Model dependent
      (smaller dependency at low -t)
  - Measure **D**eep **E**xclusive **M**eson **P**roduction (**DEMP**)

Hadron Mass Budgets



Revealing the structure of light pseudoscalar mesons at the electron–ion collider

J Arrington[1], C Ayerbe Gayoso[2], P C Barry[3,4], V Berdnikov[5], D Binosi[6], L Chang[7], M Diefenthaler[3], M Ding[6], R Ent[3], T Frederico[8], Y Furletova[3], T J Hobbs[3,9,10], T Horn[3,5,*], G M Huber[11], S J D Kay[11], C Keppel[3], H-W Lin[12], C Mezrag[13], R Montgomery[14], I L Pegg[5], K Raya[7,15], P Reimer[16], D G Richards[3], C D Roberts[17,18], J Rodríguez-Quintero[19], D Romanov[3], G Salmè[20], N Sato[3], J Segovia[21], P Stepanov[5], A S Tadepalli[3] and R L Trotta[5]

- Only the portion in red is directly from the Higgs current
- Multiple mechanisms at play to give hadrons their mass
  - Mass generation mechanisms intricately connected to structure
- **The simple $q\bar{q}$ valence structure of mesons makes them an excellent testing ground**
- What can we examine to look at their structure?

Image - G. Huber, modified figure from paper listed.

Connecting Pion Structure and Mass Generation

- Calculating the pion PDA, ϕ_π, without incorporating DCSB produces a broad, concave shape
- Incorporating DCSB changes $\phi_\pi(x)$ and brings F_π calculation much closer to the data
  - "Squashes down" PDA
- Pion structure and hadron mass generation are interlinked



L. Chang, et al., PRL110(2013) 132001, PRL111(2013), 141802

ZDC Neutron Reconstruction

- ePIC ZDC design updated significantly recently
- Most events in ZDC have more than 1 cluster, select large energy deposition events



Plot from L. Preet, University of Regina

ZDC Neutron Reconstruction

- ePIC ZDC design updated significantly recently
- Most events in ZDC have more than 1 cluster, select large energy deposition events
- New "ReconstructedFarForwardZDCNeutrons" branch
  - Reconstructed events combine clusters already
- Select region of uniform acceptance ($\theta^* < 4\ mRad$) to analyse



Plot from L. Preet, University of Regina
θ^* and * are after a rotation of 25 mRad around the proton axis to remove the crossing angle.

ZDC Neutron Reconstruction - Does it make sense?

- Selected reconstructed neutrons should actually hit the ZDC
  - Quick to check!
- Events all fall on face of ZDC
- Hexagonal pattern seen, consequence of ZDC reconstruction algorithm
- Next step, reconstruct $-t$ and apply further cuts
- Not straightforward!



Plots from L. Preet, University of Regina

DEMPgen

- DEMPgen - **D**eep **E**xclusive **M**eson **P**roduction event generator
- Fixed target (JLab) and colliding beams (EIC) modes
- Feed in an input .json file
  - Specify conditions
  - Beam energies, number of events etc
- Several reactions available
  - $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$
  - $p(e, e'K^+\Lambda)$
  - ...
- Further details in recent paper



https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2024.109444

DEMPgen - Parametrisation

- DEMPgen uses parameterised Regge-based models
  - For $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$, use CKY model

σ_L and σ_T across broad kinematic range applicable to EIC

- $5 < Q^2 < 35$, $2 < W < 10$, $0 < -t < 1.2$
- Ranges currently being revisited
- Upgrades from kaon parameterisation being incorporated







Authors of model are - T.K. **C**hoi, K.J. **K**ong and B.G. **Y**u - **CKY**

DEMPgen - Parametrisation

- DEMPgen uses parameterised Regge-based models
  - For $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$, use CKY model

σ_L and σ_T across broad kinematic range applicable to EIC

- $5 < Q^2 < 35$, $2 < W < 10$, $0 < -t < 1.2$
- Ranges currently being revisited
- Upgrades from kaon parameterisation being incorporated







Authors of model are - T.K. **C**hoi, K.J. **K**ong and B.G. **Y**u - **CKY**

DEMPgen - Parametrisation

- DEMPgen uses parameterised Regge-based models
  - For $p(e, e'\pi^+ n)$, use CKY model

  σ_L and σ_T across broad kinematic range applicable to EIC
  - $5 < Q^2 < 35$, $2 < W < 10$, $0 < -t < 1.2$
  - Ranges currently being revisited
  - Upgrades from kaon parameterisation being incorporated







- Kaon reactions $\rightarrow$ Use VGL model

Authors of model are - M.**V**anderhaeghen, M. **G**uidal and J.-M.**L**aget - **VGL**

Isolating σ_L from σ_T in an e-p Collider

- For a collider -

$$\epsilon = \frac{2(1-y)}{1+(1-y)^2} \quad \text{with} \quad y = \frac{Q^2}{x(s_{tot} - M_N^2)}$$

  - y is the fractional energy loss
- Systematic uncertainties in σ_L magnified by $1/\Delta\epsilon$
  - Ideally, $\Delta\epsilon > 0.2$
- To access $\epsilon < 0.8$ with a collider, need $y > 0.5$
  - Only accessible at small s_{tot}
  - Requires low proton energies ($\sim 10\ GeV$)
- **Conventional L-T separation not practical, need another way to determine σ_L**

σ_L Isolation with a Model at the EIC

- QCD scaling predicts $\sigma_L \propto Q^{-6}$ and $\sigma_T \propto Q^{-8}$
- At the high Q^2 and W accessible at the EIC, phenomenological models predict $\sigma_L \gg \sigma_T$ at small $-t$
- Can attempt to extract σ_L by using a model to isolate dominant $d\sigma_L/dt$ from measured $d\sigma_{UNS}/dt$
- Examine π^+/π^- ratios as a test of the model



Predictions are assuming $\epsilon > 0.9995$ with the kinematic ranges seen earlier

T.Vrancx, J. Ryckebusch, PRC 89(2014)025203

Background Events

- Main source of background is SIDIS, $p(e, e'\pi^+)X$, events
- Compare SIDIS events for same beam energy
- Very few fall in comparable $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\phi$ range



Plot from L. Preet, University of Regina

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2$$

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2 \quad -t_{rec} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2$$

- Ok, easy then, same thing for the reconstructed info!

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2 \quad -t_{rec} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2$$

- Ok, easy then, same thing for the reconstructed info!



Plots from L. Preet, University of Regina

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2$$

- So, maybe a different approach?

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2 \qquad -t_{rec} = (\vec{p} - \vec{n})^2$$

- So, maybe a different approach?
- Use the proton beam and detected neutron



Plots from L. Preet, University of Regina

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2 \quad -t_{rec} = (\vec{p} - \vec{n})^2$$

- Not great, not terrible. Try again



Plots from L. Preet, University of Regina

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2 \quad -t_{rec} = \left(P_{T,\gamma^*} - P_{T,\pi^+}\right)^2$$

- Use P_T approach



Plots from L. Preet, University of Regina

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2 \quad -t_{rec} = \left(P_{T,\gamma^*} - P_{T,\pi^+}\right)^2$$

- Use P_T approach
- Even worse! Back to the proton and neutron



Plots from L. Preet, University of Regina

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2$$

- Exploit what we know, ZDC hit angles, P_{Miss} from π^+, e' and the mass of the remaining particle

$P_{miss} = |\vec{p_e} + \vec{p_p} - \vec{p_{e'}} - \vec{p_{\pi^+}}|$, see previous paper for more details

$-t$ Reconstruction

- Need data at lowest possible $-t$ for form factor extraction
- Can calculate $-t$ via -

$$-t_{truth} = \left(\vec{\gamma^*} - \vec{\pi^+}\right)^2 \quad -t_{rec} = (\vec{p} - \vec{n_{Corr}})^2$$

- Exploit what we know, ZDC hit angles, P_{Miss} from π^+, e' and the mass of the remaining particle
- Correct neutron 4 vector using this info - n_{corr}



Plots from L. Preet, University of Regina

$P_{miss} = |\vec{p_e} + \vec{p_p} - \vec{p_{e'}} - \vec{p_{\pi^+}}|$, see previous paper for more details

"Hold on, what was that bit about the neutron..."

- Utilise **position info** from ZDC and that reaction is **exclusive**
  - $\vec{P}_{Miss} = (\vec{e} + \vec{p}) - (\vec{e\prime}_{Rec} + \vec{\pi}_{Rec})$
  - $\vec{n}_{Rec} \rightarrow$ Get from ZDC hit info, determine angles
    - θ_{nRec}
    - ϕ_{nRec}
- Make a new vector, $\vec{n}_{Corr}$
  - Use $|\vec{P}_{Miss}|$, θ_{nRec},ϕ_{nRec} and set mass to neutron mass
- This is incorporated in the main analysis loop
- Can now use new 4-vector in t calculation

"Hold on, what was that bit about the neutron..."

- Utilise **position info** from ZDC and that reaction is **exclusive**
  - $\vec{P}_{Miss} = (\vec{e} + \vec{p}) - (\vec{e\prime}_{Rec} + \vec{\pi}_{Rec})$
  - $\vec{n}_{Rec} \rightarrow$ Get from ZDC hit info, determine angles
    - θ_{nRec}
    - ϕ_{nRec}
- Make a new vector, $\vec{n}_{Corr}$
  - Use $|\vec{P}_{Miss}|$, θ_{nRec},ϕ_{nRec} and set mass to neutron mass
- This is incorporated in the main analysis loop
- Can now use new 4-vector in t calculation

"Hold on, what was that bit about the neutron..."

- Utilise **position info** from ZDC and that reaction is **exclusive**
  - $\vec{P}_{Miss} = (\vec{e} + \vec{p}) - (\vec{e\prime}_{Rec} + \vec{\pi}_{Rec})$
  - $\vec{n}_{Rec} \rightarrow$ Get from ZDC hit info, determine angles
    - θ_{nRec}
    - ϕ_{nRec}
- Make a new vector, $\vec{n}_{Corr}$
  - Use $|\vec{P}_{Miss}|$, θ_{nRec},ϕ_{nRec} and set mass to neutron mass
- This is incorporated in the main analysis loop
- Can now use new 4-vector in t calculation

"Hold on, what was that bit about the neutron..."

- Utilise **position info** from ZDC and that reaction is **exclusive**
  - $\vec{P}_{Miss} = (\vec{e} + \vec{p}) - (\vec{e\prime}_{Rec} + \vec{\pi}_{Rec})$
  - $\vec{n}_{Rec} \rightarrow$ Get from ZDC hit info, determine angles
    - θ_{nRec}
    - ϕ_{nRec}
- Make a new vector, $\vec{n}_{Corr}$
  - Use $|\vec{P}_{Miss}|$, θ_{nRec},ϕ_{nRec} and set mass to neutron mass
  - $P_x \rightarrow |\vec{P}_{Miss}| \times \sin(\theta_{nRec}) \times \cos(\phi_{nRec})$...
- This is incorporated in the main analysis loop
- Can now use new 4-vector in t calculation

Simulation Results - Neutron Reconstruction

- $\vec{n}_{Corr}$ resolution very good
- Few % resolution



ZDC Lambda and Sigma Reconstruction

- Exciting new study on the arXiv just before Christmas
  - https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.12346
  - S.J. Paul et. al.
- Λ^0 and Σ^0 detection in the ZDC looks promising!

ZDC Lambda and Sigma Reconstruction

- Exciting new study on the arXiv just before Christmas
  - https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.12346
  - S.J. Paul et. al.
- Λ^0 and Σ^0 detection in the ZDC looks promising!

- Position and angular resolution far exceed YR requirements for **neutrons**
- Performance very similar to neutron detection



Figure from - https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.12346

ZDC Lambda and Sigma Reconstruction

- Exciting new study on the arXiv just before Christmas
  - https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.12346
  - S.J. Paul et. al.
- Λ^0 and Σ^0 detection in the ZDC looks promising!

- Acceptance for neutral decay improves with Λ^0 energy
- Depends strongly upon decay z_{vtx}



Figure from - https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.12346

ZDC Lambda and Sigma Reconstruction

- Exciting new study on the arXiv just before Christmas
  - https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.12346
  - S.J. Paul et. al.
- Λ^0 and Σ^0 detection in the ZDC looks promising!

- Acceptance for neutral decay improves with Λ^0 energy
- Depends strongly upon decay z_{vtx}
- Smear MC truth and apply acceptance in line with paper
- Potential for rapid F_K projections
- Need updated projections to lower Λ^0 energies for 10x100 or 5x41